UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,886	08/15/2005	Bruno Masoni	1429-157	5842
24106 EGBERT LAW	7590 07/30/200 ' OFFICES	9	EXAMINER	
	REET, 7TH FLOOR		CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
HOUSTON, TX	X //002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/527,886	MASONI, BRUNO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rick K. Chang	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a round will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON thut, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matt		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	I).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreing a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documed a. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documed a. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnets* * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/527,886 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by F. P. Ellzey (US 2,786,435).

Ellzey discloses a single strip of metal rolled upon itself in a generally spiral pattern so as to form a tube (Fig. 1), said single strip of metal having an edge line of a spiral pattern extending along a length of said tube (Fig. 1), said edge line extending at an acute angle with respect to a longitudinal axis of said tube (Fig. 1), said tube having an inlet at one end and an outlet at an opposite end thereof, each of said inlet and said outlet having a smaller diameter than a diameter of said tube between said inlet and said outlet (col. 1, lines 38-44), said inlet and said outlet being mechanically deformed by flospinning into said smaller diameter; and a weld line affixed to said edge line so as to fix said edge line to an adjacent or underlying surface of said single strip of metal, said weld line sealing an interior of said tube (col. 10, lines 14-35).

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 5/12/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Col. 1, lines 38-44 states that structures of various sizes, shapes and characters can be manufactured, not limited to providing round, cylindrical structures, fuselage or wing sections.

A fuselage of 747 Boeing airplane, before being assembled, has diameters of front and back ends smaller than a diameter of the double deck section or mid-section. Further, the tube shown in Fig. 1 can be made to have the bottom section diameter smaller than the mid-section by continuously wrapping the metal strip. Further, a tank such as a barrel has both ends smaller than the middle section

A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention (a muffler shell) must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

The welding is performed along seam or joint of 102 (col. 10, lines 29-31).

Fig. 1 shows a single strip of metal.

Interviews After Final

4. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection must be submitted briefly in writing the intended purpose and content of the interview (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Upon review of the agenda, the Examiner may grant the interview if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

5. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to

claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional).

Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the

Page 4

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/527,886 Page 5

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rick K. Chang/

Primary Examiner, A.U. 3726

RC

July 30, 2009